EXHIBIT F

1	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
2	DAY 39 OF LEGISLATIVE SESSIONS
3	MARCH 29, 2019
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARINGS
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported from electronic media by
25	Judy K. McNeill, CCR B-1611



		D 0
1	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair	Page 2
2	recognizes Chairman Setzler for a motion.	
3	CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Mr. Speaker, I'd	
4	like to make a motion to agree to the	
5	Senate Substitute to House Bill 481.	
6	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: Chairman	
7	Setzler has moved that this House agree to	
8	the Senate Substitute to House Bill 481.	
9	The Clerk will read the caption.	
10	THE CLERK: House Bill 481 by	
11	Representative Setzler of the 35th, Lott of	
12	the 122nd, Taylor of the 173rd and others	
13	to be entitled an Act to amend Chapter 2 of	
14	Title 1 of the Official Code of Georgia	
15	Annotated relating to persons and their	
16	rights; to amend Article 5 of Chapter 12,	
17	Title 16 of the Official Code of Georgia	
18	relating to abortion.	
19	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair	
20	recognize Chairman Setzler to explain the	
21	Senate Substitute to House Bill 481.	
22	CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Thank you, Mr.	
23	Speaker.	
24	Ladies and gentlemen of the House, I	
25	bring before you today the Senate	



- 1 Substitute to House Bill 481. This was a
- 2 measure we debated at some length in the
- 3 House and as a result of the -- many of the
- 4 questions that were asked here, both of
- 5 House members, of the Governor and others,
- 6 we addressed in Senate Committee a
- 7 substitute that addressed many of those
- 8 concerns and questions and makes House Bill
- 9 481 an even better bill than what we passed
- 10 out of the House the first time.
- 11 We're working off LC version 28
- 12 9335S. That's the actual version that the
- 13 Senate passed. And what that does it --
- 14 again, there were some questions about
- 15 providing an extra level of protection, a
- 16 belt and suspenders if you will, to ensure
- 17 that accidental deaths from a series of
- 18 medical procedures from doctors, nurses,
- 19 physician assistants and pharmacists could
- 20 in no way be conceived -- be construed to
- 21 criminalize the activity of this bill.
- We provided that affirmative defense.
- 23 We did the same thing for women seeking
- 24 emergency abortions.
- 25 Again, those protections aren't



- 1 needed specifically by law. But, again, an
- 2 affirmative defense is yet another layer of
- 3 protection to make sure there can be no
- 4 misconstruing of any criminal
- 5 responsibility for those circumstances.
- 6 We provided a lot of definitions.
- 7 Again, we fleshed out in detail definitions
- 8 including abortion, detectable human
- 9 heartbeat, medically futile, medical
- 10 emergency.
- 11 Many of these things existed in law,
- 12 but to put them in the code section so
- they're abundantly clear in the operative
- 14 code section we added those definitions in.
- 15 There was a question about law
- 16 enforcement. We limited the access to
- 17 records to district attorneys as is in
- 18 existing law and clarified that it's -- the
- 19 records wouldn't just be limited to
- 20 facility records but records that were
- 21 appropriate.
- In no way could this ever violate
- 23 HIPAA. I'm sure there will be some
- 24 questions about that. But we have no
- 25 ability under our law to do anything that



- 1 would violate HIPAA privacy protections.
- 2 This simply allows access to records that
- 3 are relative to the abortion and the
- 4 appropriate -- for DA's to have access to.
- 5 One thing this bill does -- and I
- 6 would tell you substantively -- listening
- 7 to members from both bodies speak on this
- 8 question, I am proud to say that the
- 9 questions were raised about what are we
- 10 doing for mothers that are in these
- 11 difficult circumstances.
- 12 One thing the Senate substitute does
- 13 -- and I'm very proud and I think this body
- 14 can be very proud of is that women who find
- 15 themselves pregnant can now, under this
- 16 bill, have access to child support from the
- 17 father.
- 18 I say again, we recognize that
- 19 oftentimes women, as they go through
- 20 pregnancy, incur costs. In some cases if
- 21 they have a difficult pregnancy, there may
- 22 be time out of work, bed rest or other
- 23 direct medical expenses and we allow that a
- 24 woman can pursue child support from the
- 25 father for doing that. We recognize that



- 1 it's common today that with simple blood
- 2 tests, we can establish paternity as early
- 3 as eight to 10 weeks. And if a woman
- 4 should choose to pursue child support from
- 5 the father, it makes sure that we're
- 6 providing that in this bill. Something I
- 7 think we can be very, very proud of as a
- 8 General Assembly.
- 9 We're also very clear in this
- 10 proposition that human heartbeat is the
- 11 threshold by which any of these benefits
- 12 would apply. And I want to just say there
- 13 was some questions about that earlier on so
- 14 we were abundantly clear that all the
- 15 benefits and any of the restrictions that
- 16 are applicable here apply at the point of a
- 17 detectable human heartbeat.
- 18 We simplified the Legislative
- 19 findings. Many members in this body in the
- 20 House Health Committee had questions about
- 21 the legislative findings we had. So taking
- the feedback from members of both parties
- 23 in committee, we simplified those. What
- 24 was formerly three pages of legislative
- 25 findings was simplified to clarify the



- 1 status of the child in the womb being a
- 2 person and the constitutional provisions
- 3 that establish that.
- 4 But we simplified that and really
- 5 addressed many of the concerns we got from
- 6 both parties in committee. We have
- 7 legislative findings that are solid and
- 8 candidly more simple.
- 9 And then lastly, Mr. Speaker,
- 10 clarified as well that pregnancies -- an
- 11 ectopic pregnancy or a miscarried pregnancy
- 12 where a child died of natural causes, the
- 13 removing an ectopic pregnancy or child that
- 14 died of natural causes could never be
- 15 construed as being an abortion.
- 16 So those are clean-up measures. And,
- 17 again, Mr. Speaker, I think they -- that
- 18 they reflect the common sense sentiment of
- 19 Georgians.
- 20 And, ladies and gentlemen, as I
- 21 present to you this Senate Substitute House
- 22 Bill 481, I would like to once again just
- 23 say our intention, Mr. Speaker, is to
- 24 balance the interests of the mother and the
- 25 child.



Page 8 There's been lots of feedback in this 1 2 discussion about women's concerns and we've listened to those. And this bill though 3 recognizes the fundamental life of a child 4 in the womb is worthy of full legal 5 6 protection and balances that basic right to life with the very difficult situation 7 women find themselves in in pregnancies and 8 I think this bill is something we can be 9 very proud of, that balances those two 10 11 interests as well as this General Assembly 12 possibly can. With that, Mr. Speaker, I'll be glad 13 14 to take questions. 15 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair 16 recognizes Representative Erica Thomas to 17 your left for a question. 18 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Does the 19 gentleman yield? 20 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am. 21 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Is it not true that a vote of yes to this bill will 22 23 put the burden on the State of Georgia to determine paternity of a fetus while the 24

fetus is still in the woman's uterus?



25

- 1 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: No, that's not
- 2 true. The burden is not on the State of
- 3 Georgia. In fact, as I alluded to earlier,
- 4 current medical technology that's common
- 5 allows a blood test to be taken.
- 6 We know that a child as early as
- 7 eight to 10 weeks through the DNA that mix
- 8 -- the child's DNA that mixes in with the
- 9 mother's blood can allow paternity to be
- 10 established at eight to 10 weeks gestation
- 11 age today.
- 12 So if a woman should choose to have
- 13 to establish paternity, in many cases you
- 14 may know paternity is not an issue.
- 15 There's not a dispute about who the father
- 16 is. But only in those cases where it was
- in dispute and the woman wanted to
- 18 establish that for purposes of pursuing
- 19 child support, those mechanisms happen with
- 20 a relatively simple medical test and that
- 21 puts no duty on the State of Georgia to do
- 22 that.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: Does the
- 24 gentleman further yield?
- 25 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Sure.



Page 10 1 REPRESENTATIVE THOMAS: So just to 2 clarify, you're saying that the woman would 3 have to pay for the paternity test and not the State of Georgia. 4 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: If -- if a 5 6 paternity was in dispute, the -- and the 7 woman wanted to pursue a child support payment for direct medical expenses 8 9 associated with her pregnancy from the father, then she could pursue that through 10 11 the typical means where paternity is 12 established. There is no duty on the State of 13 14 Georgia that has to be established in any 15 number of circumstances, but that would 16 certainly be a mother's right to do that. 17 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair 18 recognizes the minority leader, Leader 19 Trammel, to your left for a question. MINORITY LEADER TRAMMEL: 20 Does the 21 gentleman yield? 22 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir, I do. 23 MINORITY LEADER TRAMMEL: Isn't it true that passage of this bill will subject 24 25 women who have a miscarriage to the



- 1 possibility of being investigated by law
- 2 enforcement, having their health records
- 3 exposed to the district attorney and law
- 4 enforcement officials in what would be one
- 5 of the most difficult private devastating
- 6 times that a woman could ever face?
- 7 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Well, to the
- 8 gentleman's question -- let me recognize
- 9 the sensitivity of those situations. I
- 10 don't want to be too glib in my answer.
- I will direct members to look in the
- 12 bill. The bill does not do those things.
- 13 I would say in the spirit of your question,
- 14 this is a very difficult set of
- 15 circumstances and for that reason,
- 16 legislators, attorneys from our Governor's
- 17 office have worked to make sure that all
- 18 these circumstances are addressed because
- 19 of the nature of these kinds of concerns.
- I mean, I can direct members to the
- 21 substitute to the point you asked about a
- 22 miscarriage. If a woman has a miscarriage,
- 23 removing a miscarried pregnancy, a child
- 24 that's died in the womb is written in the
- 25 definition out of the definition of



- 1 abortion. And I can point members to the
- 2 place in the bill where that's addressed.
- 3 Line 93 of the bill is very clear.
- 4 In fact, it's not even necessary to
- 5 determine that because current law
- 6 establishes it. But out of an abundance of
- 7 caution in the Senate Substitute, 93 --
- 8 line 93 says: Removing a dead unborn child
- 9 caused by spontaneous abortion is not
- 10 included in the definition of an abortion.
- 11 We were very careful to make sure we
- 12 included that. So there would be zero
- 13 criminal responsibility for that.
- 14 So appreciate the sensitivity of the
- 15 gentleman of the circumstances. There is
- 16 no criminal responsibility that's expanded
- 17 here for anything related to a miscarriage.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair
- 19 recognizes Chairman Beverly to your left
- 20 for a question.
- 21 CHAIRMAN BEVERLY: Does the gentleman
- 22 yield?
- 23 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I do.
- 24 CHAIRMAN BEVERLY: If I could draw
- 25 your attention to line 256 to 259.



March 29, 2019 Page 13 1 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir. 2 CHAIRMAN BEVERLY: Thank you. Is it 3 not true that under this -- a vote for yes for 481 will subject a physician to a civil 4 action of wrongful death or the criminal 5 6 act of homicide in the first or second It does not establish who has 7 dearee? 8 standing to bring about that action and the 9 only way to determine who the punitive 10 father is is to test the DNA of a fetus and 11 this bill does not address that. 12 And in so doing, the Medical 13 Association of Georgia, the Georgia Academy 14 of Family Physicians, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists have all 15 16 opposed House Bill 481. 17 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I think I heard -to the Chairman, my friend, I think I heard 18 three questions in there. I'll try to 19 20 address them in order. 21 The way this bill operates does 22 nothing to expand the criminal 23 responsibility of physicians.

Currently under current law, Code

Section 16-12-140, if a doctor performs an



24

25

- 1 abortion outside of the provisions laid out
- 2 under law, they are subject to prosecution
- 3 under criminal abortion.
- 4 This changes nothing in that. It
- 5 changes nothing there. The suggestion that
- 6 something more severe like murder doesn't
- 7 exist. It's -- again, we can have sort of
- 8 a judiciary committee discussion.
- 9 Under the principles of lenity
- 10 because of the way abortion is defined,
- 11 anything outside of that definition would
- 12 be prosecuted as a criminal abortion and
- 13 that doesn't change our law in any way by
- 14 virtue of the way this bill operates.
- 15 So if that's been alleged to you,
- 16 they've given you bad facts.
- 17 CHAIRMAN BEVERLY: Does the gentleman
- 18 further yield just as a follow-up?
- 19 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Sure.
- 20 CHAIRMAN BEVERLY: For point of
- 21 clarity. So in the event that there's an
- 22 abortion and you're trying to establish who
- 23 has standing to bring about this suit, if
- there's a question of who the father is,
- 25 who the punitive father is, that this bill



- 1 does not address that, you would have to go
- 2 about doing a DNA test on a fetus to
- 3 determine who the punitive father is to
- 4 establish who has the right to bring about
- 5 this suit in the code section. And this
- 6 bill does not do that.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: No, sir. It's --
- 8 let me direct -- let me find the line in
- 9 the bill that talks about the civil action.
- 10 Let me tell the members, too -- and
- 11 many of you don't live in the world of
- 12 civil practice. If there's any violation
- 13 of the law that's committed against a
- 14 person, they have standing -- it's called a
- 15 tort. If there's a duty that's violated,
- 16 there's a tort and they have access to a
- 17 civil action.
- 18 Under our existing law, women upon
- 19 whom an abortion is performed in violation
- 20 of the law have a civil action against the
- 21 physician. The challenge is as they look
- 22 at -- there have been courts that
- 23 construed, well, is it a regulatory
- 24 interest that the law be followed or is the
- 25 woman's individual interest.



- 1 Most courts are going to recognize
- 2 the woman has a direct interest. This bill
- 3 simply clarifies that if an abortion is
- 4 performed on a woman in violation of the
- 5 law, that she has standing to bring a civil
- 6 action. It doesn't change the existing law
- 7 but clarifies so there is no dispute that
- 8 she has standing that if a law is broken in
- 9 performance of an abortion on her.
- 10 CHAIRMAN BEVERLY: And just for point
- 11 -- just one question if you'd just indulge
- 12 just one other time.
- When you establish the fact that the
- 14 father has rights now within the space, the
- 15 father does have -- the potential -- the
- 16 potential father has the ability to have
- 17 standing to bring about this -- to bring
- 18 about wrongful death.
- 19 And so in that case, how do you
- 20 determine who the father is when the fetus
- 21 has been aborted? And this bill does not
- 22 address that.
- 23 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Well, what this
- 24 bill does address is it addresses the fact
- 25 that a mother clearly has standing. And,



- 1 again, I don't want to follow down rabbit
- 2 trails that don't apply. But I appreciate
- 3 the gentleman's question and I think we've
- 4 been very clear that the woman does have
- 5 standing and no one can dispute that. I
- 6 think that's appropriate.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair
- 8 recognizes Representative Kendrick to your
- 9 left for a question.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE KENDRICK: Thank you,
- 11 Mr. Speaker.
- Does the gentleman yield?
- 13 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 14 REPRESENTATIVE KENDRICK: Is it not
- 15 true that the reason we're bringing this
- 16 bill before the floor today is because this
- 17 is an attempt to overturn existing law, Roe
- 18 v Wade, which makes abortion illegal by
- 19 bringing this issue before the United
- 20 States Supreme Court?
- 21 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: To the lady's
- 22 question about Roe versus Wade, I think
- 23 this bill is written for a number of
- 24 reasons. And our first interest is we've
- 25 got living distinct human beings in the



- 1 state that are living inside their mothers
- 2 that are being lost every day.
- 3 I mean -- and I'm going to answer
- 4 your question, but I do want to say this as
- 5 sort of an antecedent to the answer.
- 6 We recognize the basic rights -- the
- 7 basic humanity that these children are
- 8 being robbed every -- 27,000 times every
- 9 year in Georgia. We, as the General
- 10 Assembly, are voting to recognize does that
- 11 child's rights -- should they be recognized
- 12 or should they not be recognized.
- We recognize that for the history of
- 14 our country, states can expand rights more
- 15 expansively than the minimum standard that
- 16 the federal government requires. So we're
- 17 more expansively recognizing rights of
- 18 children in the womb.
- 19 For example, during -- before the
- 20 passage of the 19th Amendment, many states
- 21 recognized women's right to vote before it
- 22 was required of all states. We're more
- 23 expansively recognizing the right of a
- 24 child in ways the federal government
- 25 doesn't mandate that we do.



- 1 But we, as a state, again, per our
- 2 constitution with a paramount duty of
- 3 government is the protection of life, we're
- 4 doing that here.
- 5 And in doing that, to your question
- 6 about Roe versus Wade, we were actually
- 7 following the Roe versus Wade opinion. In
- 8 fact, in the oral arguments of the Roe v
- 9 Wade opinion, in written -- in the written
- 10 opinion itself, it says if a state ever
- 11 establishes the personhood of the unborn
- 12 child, the logic of the Roe case collapses.
- In fact, the pro-abortion plaintiff's
- 14 counsel recognized that in oral argument.
- 15 It's written in the opinion. So we're
- 16 following the Roe v Wade case that says if
- 17 the state ever establishes the personhood
- 18 of the child, the pro-abortion protections
- 19 that overwrite the child's right to life
- 20 collapse.
- We, as a state, are doing that. It's
- 22 the constitutionally appropriate way the
- 23 Roe opinion gives us and that is precisely
- 24 why we're doing it the right way because we
- 25 recognize the right of a child should be



1 more expansively recognized in the State of 2 Georgia.

- 3 REPRESENTATIVE KENDRICK: Does the
- 4 gentleman further yield?
- 5 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 6 REPRESENTATIVE KENDRICK: Is it not
- 7 true that on March 7th on crossover day
- 8 immediately upon adjournment, that Governor
- 9 Kemp in a press conference stated that if
- 10 HB 481, this bill passed, that he expected
- 11 that the bill would, in fact, be litigated
- 12 in court?
- 13 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I think there are
- 14 many cases that are litigated. I think
- 15 there will be -- I predict that if we
- 16 should pass this bill and it be signed into
- 17 law by the Governor, I predict somebody
- 18 will sue. I think everybody here
- 19 recognizes someone will sue over this. The
- 20 question is have we operated in the
- 21 constitutionally sound way where we as a
- 22 state can recognize the life interest of
- 23 these helpless children.
- 24 We've taken great lengths to follow
- 25 the way the Roe opinion lays out that the



- 1 state can recognize the life of the child
- 2 in a way that changes the status and allows
- 3 states to do this. So I don't doubt
- 4 someone will litigate this, but I think we
- 5 can be proud we're doing this in a way that
- 6 puts us on the most sound possible
- 7 constitutional footing for our laws to be
- 8 upheld.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair
- 10 recognizes Representative Alexander to your
- 11 left for a question.
- 12 REPRESENTATIVE ALEXANDER: Thank you,
- 13 Mr. Speaker.
- 14 Does the gentleman yield?
- 15 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE ALEXANDER: Is it not
- 17 true that a vote of yes for this bill could
- 18 result in constituents being interrogated
- 19 by law enforcement to determine if a woman
- 20 had a medical emergency to allow for
- 21 abortion to be performed?
- 22 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Representative
- 23 Alexander, I appreciate the question.
- 24 This really hasn't changed since the
- 25 House version, but I will address it in the



- 1 substitute.
- 2 On line 119 and 120 of the bill, it
- 3 gives the sole discretion to the physician
- 4 to make the determination if a medical
- 5 emergency exists. In fact, even though
- 6 it's written in current law, we
- 7 re-established the definition of medical
- 8 emergency from line 97 to 103 of the bill
- 9 so it's crystal clear what a medical
- 10 emergency can be.
- 11 But it is the sole discretion of
- 12 physicians in making that determination in
- 13 Georgia. As we alluded to in Senate
- 14 debate, this General Assembly has always
- 15 given broad discretion to physicians to
- 16 make determinations. In fact, many people
- 17 who would be on the -- considered the
- 18 pro-life side would say we've given too
- 19 much discretion to physicians. But this
- 20 General Assembly has always given broad
- 21 discretion to physicians to make these
- 22 kinds of determinations.
- 23 So it is the sole discretion of a
- 24 physician that these conditions exist
- 25 because we want to recognize that if



- 1 there's a medical emergency that could
- 2 cause the life or irreparable harm to a
- 3 woman, that -- in weighing that out,
- 4 sometimes abortion does the least harm is
- 5 the appropriate response.
- I would further say to the lady, we
- 7 also provide, as I alluded to earlier, the
- 8 affirmative defense that no woman can be
- 9 exposed criminally as a result of medical
- 10 emergency. So we provided extra protection
- 11 for the woman in particular because we
- 12 recognize the difficulty of the situations.
- But, no, as a matter of fact, the
- 14 physician has the sole discretion to
- 15 establish medical emergency.
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE ALEXANDER: Does the
- 17 gentleman further yield?
- 18 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 19 REPRESENTATIVE ALEXANDER: Is it not
- 20 true that a vote for this bill could
- 21 criminalize in vitro fertilization and hurt
- 22 families who want to have a baby?
- 23 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: To your question,
- there's no way that can happen. In fact,
- 25 if you look at the definition, the



- 1 definition is qualified by "in the womb".
- 2 In vitro fertilization -- I know there have
- 3 been some states before that have looked at
- 4 trying to create the personhood status for
- 5 a child in an IVF clinic.
- 6 This bill does not do that. This is
- 7 only for children that are in the womb and
- 8 has nothing to do with IVF clinics. In
- 9 fact, we specifically and carefully made
- 10 sure that it could not have that effect in
- 11 how we drew the bill.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair
- 13 recognizes Representative Bentley to your
- 14 left for a question.
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE BENTLEY: Thank you,
- 16 Mr. Speaker.
- Does the gentleman yield?
- 18 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 19 REPRESENTATIVE BENTLEY: Is it not
- 20 true that I represent four very, very rural
- 21 counties that do not have a OBGYN located
- 22 in those counties?
- 23 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: If the lady so
- 24 states.
- 25 REPRESENTATIVE BENTLEY: And is it



- 1 not further true that women in those
- 2 counties that I represent that do not have
- 3 an OBGYN located in their county would have
- 4 to travel very far to discover if they are
- 5 indeed pregnant and may not find that out
- 6 to be after six weeks?
- 7 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Well, to the
- 8 lady's question, I mean, I think the -- I
- 9 don't want to get into a class about
- 10 women's cycles. But as we go there, you
- 11 know, typically a woman is on a 28, 30 days
- 12 cycle.
- 13 If, in fact, the restriction accrues
- 14 at six to seven weeks when the heartbeat
- 15 typically exists, you've got about a
- 16 two-week period from when a woman would
- 17 expect to have her cycle in which she would
- 18 generally be able to pursue and have an
- 19 abortion.
- 20 I think the issue -- the concern here
- 21 as we've talked about, as we've tried to
- 22 weigh this life interest of the child, you
- 23 know, we recognize life begins at
- 24 conception and is worthy of protection. In
- 25 fact, our laws today protect a child from



- 1 feticide, not to the point of heartbeat but
- 2 our laws -- our existing law in Georgia
- 3 today, 16-5-80, provides up to life in
- 4 prison for a person that attacks a woman
- 5 and kills her child in utero beginning at
- 6 conception. Not at heartbeat because we
- 7 recognize, even beginning at conception
- 8 under our existing law, the value of this
- 9 child.
- 10 As we try to balance this, we looked
- 11 at, hey, where do we strike the balance
- 12 between protecting the life of this child
- 13 and the interest of the mother that you
- 14 raised. And I think as has been shown
- 15 earlier, pregnancy tests women are able to
- 16 take themselves have been rated as being
- 17 very accurate. So it's not true that women
- 18 don't have any ability to know whether or
- 19 not they're pregnant before six weeks.
- In certain circumstances, to have a
- 21 third party medically verified pregnancy
- 22 test taken based on how they schedule and
- 23 those kinds of things, some of those things
- 24 could exist. But it's not true that a
- 25 woman can't know that she's pregnant. And



- 1 we are trying to weigh these things out in
- 2 an appropriate way.
- 3 REPRESENTATIVE BENTLEY: Does the
- 4 gentleman further yield?
- 5 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 6 REPRESENTATIVE BENTLEY: Well, maybe
- 7 I need to rephrase it.
- 8 During the development of this
- 9 legislation, was there any discussion about
- 10 rural Georgia and these communities that do
- 11 not have an OBGYN in them such as in my
- 12 entire district, all four counties, there
- is no OBGYN in those communities.
- So I guess my question is was there
- 15 any discussion about the rural communities
- 16 and the fact that we don't have those type
- 17 of medical services for women to be able to
- 18 officially find out that they are pregnant
- 19 past six weeks?
- 20 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am. If I
- 21 could direct you to line 172 to 173 of the
- 22 bill. 172 and 173 of the bill provides
- 23 this affirmative defense that if a woman
- 24 sought an abortion she reasonably believed
- 25 that was a result -- I'm sorry. Let me



- 1 read it very clearly.
- 2 A woman sought an abortion because
- 3 she reasonably believed that an abortion
- 4 was the only way to prevent a medical
- 5 emergency, that affirmative defense -- one
- 6 of the reasons that exists is because if a
- 7 woman were in a place where she didn't have
- 8 an immediate access to a doctor, she
- 9 believed that a medical emergency was
- 10 ongoing right then, we wanted to provide
- 11 that defense.
- 12 If she felt the only way to save her
- 13 life was to terminate the life of her
- 14 child, she should have this as an
- 15 affirmative defense from prosecution
- 16 because we recognize every person doesn't
- 17 have immediate access and we wanted to
- 18 provide this extra belt and suspenders, if
- 19 you will, to make sure she couldn't be
- 20 prosecuted for that.
- 21 So that was really written directly
- 22 because of rural Georgia.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE BEVERLY: And my last
- 24 question -- does the gentleman further
- 25 yield?



- 1 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am.
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE BEVERLY: Is it not
- 3 true that I was not here on the day of the
- 4 debate on this legislation previously
- 5 because I was at the hospital with my
- 6 husband for the entire week; therefore, I
- 7 wanted to be here today to really expound
- 8 on the need for rural Georgia and the fact
- 9 there's no OBGYNs in rural Georgia. Is
- 10 that not true, sir?
- 11 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: That's true. And
- 12 to the lady's question, you remember the
- 13 debate perhaps yesterday on the budget. I
- 14 was asking that Chairman England, you know,
- 15 how many additional positions are we
- 16 providing in our medical schools for
- 17 physicians.
- 18 I believe the gentleman actually
- 19 quoted a number of additional OBGYN slots
- 20 we're providing in our schools and I'm
- 21 proud of that. I think it's something we
- 22 can all be proud of as a General Assembly.
- 23 And those issues are related directly to
- 24 that. I think it's something we recognize
- 25 we want to address across the state.



Page 30 1 REPRESENTATIVE BEVERLY: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Thank you. 3 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair recognizes Representative Vernon Jones to 4 your left for a question. 5 6 REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Will my good friend from Cobb County yield, please? 7 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir. 8 will. 9 REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Isn't it true, 10 11 Mr. Representative, that you and I do agree on a lot of the issues? 12 13 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: We do. 14 REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Is it not 15 further true that you and I have never 16 experienced what a cycle is personally. 17 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Personally, 18 correct. REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Is it not 19 20 further true that this really has become a 21 political issue within your own party where 22 some members don't want to vote for this 23 and some members are just really torn on this piece of legislation. Is that not 24 25 true?



- 1 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I think it's -- I
- 2 would characterize it differently. I think
- 3 members broadly recognize the rightness of
- 4 this. I think the difficulty has come from
- 5 the vitriol of the other side of this
- 6 question and that's -- I'm not talking to
- 7 the members here. I'm talking about in the
- 8 hallway and around the state, the ugliness
- 9 that's come against people that are trying
- 10 to represent and protect the rights of
- 11 these helpless children, the ugliness of
- 12 that is unsettling to members.
- 13 And I think I'm on the record of even
- 14 having said that. I mean, as a citizen
- 15 legislator, it's not every day people get
- 16 in our face and shout things at us. It's
- 17 not every day we get emails that are just
- 18 as stark and as attacking as these are. I
- 19 think that's the sense in which members,
- 20 perhaps the majority party have felt sort
- 21 of an unease about this.
- But I think the policy of this is a
- 23 solid policy that I think honestly could be
- 24 -- should be a bipartisan issue. I know
- 25 there are members of both parties in this



- 1 chamber that recognize this bill is right
- 2 and worthy of support.
- 3 REPRESENTATIVE JONES: If I could
- 4 bring the gentleman back home. Is it not
- 5 true within your own party members in this
- 6 body have -- some have a difficult time
- 7 voting for this. Even some of them walked
- 8 on the bill. Is that not true?
- 9 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Well, again,
- 10 Representative Jones, I'm not going to
- 11 speculate on people's -- on politics,
- 12 people's -- I want to focus on the four
- 13 corners of a very serious bill.
- 14 I've indulged you to answer the
- 15 question about the ugliness that's come at
- 16 a number of our members that's been very
- 17 unsettling and created a level of
- 18 discomfort. But the substance of this bill
- 19 is solid and I think it's something that
- 20 people in both parties can be supportive of
- 21 because we balance the interest of women in
- 22 very difficult circumstances with the basic
- 23 right to life of a child that our laws do
- 24 not adequately protect.
- 25 And that's the four corners of this



- 1 bill and I would like to -- if it please
- 2 the gentleman, I'd like to leave it there
- 3 if we could.
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Okay. Since
- 5 the gentleman would like to leave it there,
- 6 I just want to ask the gentleman one
- 7 further question if the gentleman doesn't
- 8 mind.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE JONES: Is it not true
- 11 that if your members -- or some of your
- members vote for this bill and in 2020,
- 13 your party loses, there's going to be a new
- 14 speaker of the house here -- maybe Vernon
- 15 Jones -- and if there is a new speaker --
- 16 don't underestimate -- and if there's a new
- 17 speaker, many of your party will lose
- 18 power. Many of you -- all of you would
- 19 lose your chairmanships, staff be changed.
- 20 It would be a complete overhaul.
- 21 Isn't that not true if you all lose
- 22 based on this bill, that this body is going
- 23 to change over?
- 24 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I disagree with
- 25 the gentleman's premise. I think Georgians



- 1 recognize the rightness of this. There is
- 2 certainly dissent, but this is not a
- 3 political issue. This is not -- we're not
- 4 doing this for political reasons.
- We're doing this because it's right.
- 6 The co-sponsor of the bill, the people that
- 7 support this bill said to me: Ed, there
- 8 may be some blow-back from a quarter --
- 9 some quarter of Georgians, some ugliness.
- 10 But we're doing this because it's the right
- 11 thing. And I and those that supported it
- 12 before and will support it today are proud
- 13 to stand on the substance.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair
- 15 recognizes Representative LaRicca to your
- 16 right for a question.
- 17 REPRESENTATIVE LARICCA: Thank you,
- 18 Mr. Speaker. Does the gentleman yield?
- 19 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir.
- 20 REPRESENTATIVE LARICCA: Is it not
- 21 true that in addition to what this bill
- 22 does to help with some financial assistance
- 23 for the expecting mothers, that last year
- 24 we passed a measure through this body, the
- adoption bill, that would also help with



- 1 financial assistance to expecting mothers
- 2 that were putting their children up for
- 3 adoption from the adopting parents?
- 4 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: To the gentleman's
- 5 question: This body -- I believe it was
- 6 the 2017 session, we passed an historic
- 7 adoption bill. And what the adoption bill
- 8 did, for those of you who weren't here when
- 9 we passed it, was to create conditions in
- 10 which pregnant mothers who were going to be
- 11 giving their kids up for adoption can
- 12 receive more adequate compensation during
- 13 their pregnancy to make it viable to carry
- 14 the child to term and give it up for
- 15 adoption.
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE LARICCA: Will the
- 17 gentleman further yield?
- 18 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir.
- 19 REPRESENTATIVE LARICCA: Is it not
- 20 true that the current governor has said
- 21 many, many times, unlike what one of our
- 22 colleagues just mentioned, that we put
- 23 people and lives over politics and we'll
- 24 take whatever comes after us to protect
- 25 life?



Page 36 1 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I thank the 2 gentleman for that. 3 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair recognizes Representative Lott to your 4 5 right for a question. 6 REPRESENTATIVE LOTT: Does the 7 gentleman yield? 8 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am. REPRESENTATIVE LOTT: 9 Is it not true that I have recently been inundated with 10 11 phone calls, texts and emails from my 12 constituents in District 122, Columbia County, Georgia in full support of this 13 14 legislation? 15 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Ι Yes, ma'am. 16 believe that's the case. I've experienced 17 the same thing to the lady and I will tell 18 you that folks from across the practice of 19 medicine -- I've got letters from nurses, people with nursing degrees and master's in 20 21 public health, obstetricians, doctors from 22 all over the state that said, listen, the 23 associations sort of put these letters out 24 but we doctors and people in my entire 25 practice all support this bill.



Page 37 1 They recognize it's good policy and 2 that's been the overwhelming sense that 3 I've gotten from my constituents as well. REPRESENTATIVE LOTT: 4 Does the gentleman yield again? 5 6 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, ma'am. Is it not true 7 REPRESENTATIVE LOTT: 8 that just this week, I had an opportunity 9 to speak for the first time with a 10 constituent that was in opposition to this 11 legislation and in our conversation, she was not aware that this bill had any 12 13 exceptions by her reading in the media or 14 the newspapers. 15 And in our conversation -- again, and 16 I would ask for your -- you to clarify and 17 make certain that I was correct that this bill bans abortions of convenience, but 18 does allow for abortions in the case of the 19 mother's life, the child being medically 20 21 futile and in the cases of rape and incest. 22 Is that correct, sir? 23 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: That's correct. 24 REPRESENTATIVE LOTT: Thank you for 25 your time and thank you for this bill.



- 1 my community thanks you.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: Representative
- 3 Lott, you are not questioning the veracity
- 4 of the new media now, are you?
- 5 The Chair recognizes Representative
- 6 Bonner to your right for a question.
- 7 REPRESENTATIVE BONNER: Thank you,
- 8 Mr. Speaker.
- 9 Does the gentleman yield?
- 10 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir.
- 11 REPRESENTATIVE BONNER: Is it not
- 12 true that the end result of an abortion
- 13 procedure is the death of an innocent human
- 14 being?
- 15 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Unfortunately,
- 16 that's the case. You know, we recognize in
- 17 this bill -- I think you know that there's
- 18 some very difficult circumstances that
- 19 we're trying to balance here. But in one
- 20 hundred percent of abortions, a living
- 21 distinct human being with their own blood
- 22 type, their own DNA, distinct from the
- 23 mother is destroyed.
- 24 I will tell you that the reality of
- 25 abortion, as we alluded to earlier, is so



- 1 grisly and so gruesome, we can't even show
- 2 it on the board.
- I mean, anything we do here, we ought
- 4 to be able to talk about and display. I
- 5 can't show it on the board in this House
- 6 because it's so grisly and so otherwise
- 7 unfathomable.
- 8 The answer is yes, every abortion
- 9 represents the death of an innocent child.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE BONNER: And is it
- 11 further not true that this bill recognizes
- 12 the personhood of the unborn child and
- 13 affirms that the State of Georgia will
- 14 protect the lives of our children
- 15 regardless of geography?
- 16 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: It does.
- 17 REPRESENTATIVE BONNER: Thank you for
- 18 the bill.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The Chair
- 20 recognizes Representative Newton to your
- 21 right for a question.
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Speaker.
- 24 Will the gentleman yield?
- 25 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir.



Page 40 Isn't it true 1 REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: 2 that there are nearly 30,000 abortions a 3 year in Georgia? CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Ones we know 4 about. We recognize there are abortions 5 6 happening in doctor's offices that go 7 unreported in our state. But of just the ones we know about in clinics, hospitals 8 and ASC's, it's nearly 30,000. 9 REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: Will the 10 11 gentleman further yield? 12 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir. REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: 13 So isn't it also true as a medical doctor that I 14 15 understand that in 15,000 of those a year, 16 over 40 times a day, when the challenging 17 decision about abortion is being considered, that there is two women 18 involved. 19 20 There's the woman who is pregnant 21 maybe with an unwanted pregnancy. there's also a daughter involved. Isn't 22 23 that true? 24 CHAIRMAN SETZLER: About 50 percent of the time, as I understand. 25



1	REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: Will the	Page 41
2	gentleman further yield?	
3	CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Yes, sir.	
4	REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: So isn't it	
5	true that while this bill will not if 40	
6	adoptions on young women are being done a	
7	day on young daughters, that this bill	
8	won't ban all of them. It does allow	
9	exceptions that Representative Lott	
10	mentioned. It does allow other exceptions	
11	up to six to seven weeks.	
12	But isn't it true that this bill at	
13	the point that that young woman has a	
14	heartbeat in the womb, along with the	
15	mother who also has a heartbeat, that this	
16	bill will carefully balance the value of	
17	the life of that unborn woman along with	
18	the life of the mother and try to do the	
19	best we can through both adoption as was	
20	mentioned and other situations?	
21	CHAIRMAN SETZLER: I think the	
22	gentleman knows of what you speak as a	
23	medical doctor. This bill does exactly	
24	that.	
25	REPRESENTATIVE NEWTON: Thank you,	



1	sir.	Page 42
2	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: You have no	
3	further questions.	
4	CHAIRMAN SETZLER: Mr. Speaker, I	
5	appreciate your time. Appreciate the	
6	serious consideration of this body. It's	
7	been a serious discussion both in the House	
8	and the Senate.	
9	And, again, for the reasons we've	
10	discussed before, I would urge your	
11	favorable support for House Bill 481.	
12	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: On the	
13	gentleman's motion that the House agree to	
14	the Senate Substitute to House Bill 481,	
15	all those in favor will vote aye. Those	
16	opposed will vote no. And the Clerk will	
17	unlock the machines.	
18	(Brief pause)	
19	(Upon resuming)	
20	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: Have all	
21	members voted?	
22	Have all members voted?	
23	Have all members now voted?	
24	If so, the Clerk will lock the	
25	machines.	



1		Page 43
1	On the gentleman's motion, the ayes	
2	are 92. The nays are 78.	
3	This House has agreed to the Senate	
4	Substitute of House Bill 481.	
5	For what purpose does the Minority	
6	Leader of the House rise?	
7	MINORITY LEADER: To make a motion.	
8	Pursuant to Rule 143, I would move	
9	reconsideration over the House's action on	
10	House Bill 481.	
11	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The gentleman	
12	has that right and the time to do that is	
13	now.	
14	All those in favor of reconsidering	
15	the House's action in giving a	
16	constitutional majority to House Bill 481	
17	will vote aye. Those opposed will vote no	
18	and the Clerk will unlock the machines.	
19	(Brief pause)	
20	(Upon resuming)	
21	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: Have all	
22	members voted?	
23	Have all members voted?	
24	If so, the Clerk will lock the	
25	machines.	



Page 44 1 On the gentleman's motion, the ayes 2 The nays are 91. are 79. 3 This House has chosen not to reconsider its action in giving a 4 constitutional majority to House Bill 481 5 6 to the Senate Substitute. 7 What purpose does Chairman Darlene Taylor rise? 8 9 CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Parliamentary 10 inquiry. 11 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: State your 12 inquiry. 13 CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: Mr. Speaker, isn't it true that this House has carried 14 15 resolutions and bills concerning bullying? 16 CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: It has. 17 CHAIRMAN TAYLOR: And further, isn't that what the members of this House have 18 19 been subjected to over this bill? And if 20 it's not right for children or anyone else, 21 it should apply to us as the members of 22 this House. That kind of action is not tolerable. 23 24 Every person in this House has the right to 25 vote how their heart is and I think it's



1		Page 45
1	despicable the way some people have acted.	
2	Is it not true?	
3	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: I know the lady	
4	feels that to be true.	
5	The Chair will point out the members	
6	of the body here in the chamber have been	
7	very respectful this afternoon and I am	
8	appreciative of that.	
9	The Chair recognizes the Chairman of	
10	the Rules Committee for an announcement.	
11	RULES COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN: Rules	
12	Committee 341, right now for 15 minutes.	
13	CHAIRPERSON RALSTON: The House will	
14	be in recess until 3:30.	
15		
16		
17	(Proceedings concluded)	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	Page 46 STATE OF GEORGIA
2	COUNTY OF MUSCOGEE
3	
4	CERTIFICATE
5	
6	The foregoing transcript of the proceedings was
7	prepared by me from the electronic media provided to
8	me by Elizabeth Gallo Court Reporting, and I certify
9	that it is a true and correct transcript to the best
10	of my ability of the proceedings.
11	
12	This 6th day of June, 2019
13	Gady Menell
14	COURT STATE
15	
16	Judy K. McNeill Certified Court Reporter
17	No. B-1611
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

